



# Nevada Board of Parole Commissioners

## Parole Board Report of Actions, Fiscal Year 2022

This report summarizes Quarterly Reports submitted for FY 2022 in an annual format.

It summarizes all parole decisions during the reporting period. Parole actions are categorized by discretionary parole decisions, mandatory parole decisions (MPR), and parole violation decisions.

Parole actions are also summarized by Parole Guideline Recommendation, actions that deviate from the Parole Board's Guideline Recommendation and by Offense Group.

Because the numbers were derived from a number of reports, there may be slight variances in the totals.

## Section 1. Summary of all parole decisions during the reporting period

### *Fiscal Year Totals*

Parole actions are categorized by discretionary parole decisions, mandatory parole decisions (MPR), and parole violation decisions. Hearings that resulted in 'No Action' being taken and hearings resulting in the rescission of a parole as a result of ineligibility are also displayed in the following table.

	Male	Female	Total
<b>Discretionary Parole Hearings</b>	<b>2967</b>	<b>383</b>	<b>3350</b>
Discretionary Paroles Granted	1801	316	2117
Discretionary Paroles Denied	1166	67	1233
<b>Mandatory Parole (MPR) Hearings</b>	<b>1317</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>1441</b>
Mandatory Paroles Granted	952	115	1067
Mandatory Paroles Denied	365	9	374
<b>Discretionary Parole Violations Hearings (non-technical)</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>416</b>
Discretionary Paroles Continued (Reinstated) (non-technical)	44	8	52
Discretionary Paroles Revoked (non-technical)	315	49	364
<b>Mandatory Parole Violation Hearings (non-technical)</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>21</b>
Mandatory Parole Violators Continued (Reinstated) (non-technical)	0	0	0
Mandatory Parole Violators Revoked (non-technical)	19	2	21
<b>Revoke &amp; Reinstate</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>30 Day Revoke &amp; Reinstate</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>391</b>
<b>90 Day Revoke &amp; Reinstate</b>	<b>77</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>180 Day Revoke &amp; Reinstate</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Rescissions</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Grant Early Discharge</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Deny Early Discharge</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Total Decisions</b>	<b>5187</b>	<b>644</b>	<b>5831</b>
Total Grant/Continued	3290	511	3801
Total Denied/Revoked	1897	133	2030
<b>Hearings with No Action</b>	<b>997</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>1124</b>
Discretionary/Mandatory Hearings with No Action	570	73	643
Parole Violation Hearings with No Action	427	54	481
<b>Total Hearings</b>	<b>6184</b>	<b>771</b>	<b>6955</b>

### *Percentage of Action by Gender*

Percent of Action by Gender	Male	Female	Total
Percent of Discretionary Parole Granted	60.7%	82.5%	63.2%
Percent of Mandatory Parole Granted	72.3%	92.7%	74.0%
Total Discretionary/MPR Grant Rate	64.3%	85.0%	66.5%

## Section 2: Statistics of parole actions based on the parole guideline recommendation

The following charts represent discretionary and mandatory parole actions based on the discretionary parole guideline. The MPR actions appear as reference only. The guideline for consideration for release under NRS 213.1215 (Mandatory Parole) is whether there is a reasonable probability that the prisoner would be a danger to public safety. When an inmate being considered for release under Mandatory Parole is denied, the underlying reason is the determination that there is a reasonable probability that the prisoner would be a danger to public safety if released on parole.

The total number of hearings conducted in fiscal year 2020 (FY) decreased due to COVID-19. Per Governor Sisolak’s Directive ordering all State agencies to close to the public effective close of business on Friday, March 20, 2020, through May 31, 2020, only in absentia parole was granted during this time period, if the inmate met certain requirements. (The Parole Board cannot deny parole without an in-person hearing). As such, there were no parole denials during this Directive. As a result, “No Action” hearings increased in FY2020. (As the Parole Board only had the ability to grant parole in absentia during this Directive, the grant rates reflected during the FY2020 Directive increased significantly, well above normal. The grant rate decreased in FY2021 as inmates who did not qualify for in absentia parole during the FY2020 Directive were heard in FY2021.

<b>Discretionary Parole Actions by Guideline Recommendation</b>			
	Granted	Denied	% Granted
Parole at Initial	455	37	92%
Parole at 1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> Hearing	944	362	72%
Consider Factors	714	688	51%
Deny Parole	5	145	3%
Total	2118	1232	63%
<b>Mandatory Parole Actions by Guideline Recommendation</b>			
	Granted	Denied	% Granted
Parole at Initial	118	5	96%
Parole at 1 <sup>st</sup> or 2 <sup>nd</sup> Hearing	465	78	86%
Consider Factors	475	182	72%
Deny Parole	9	465	2%
Total	1067	730	59%

### Section 3: Summary of parole actions that deviated from the discretionary parole guideline

The following represents the number of inmates who were denied parole when the guideline recommended parole should be granted (denials when the guideline recommends parole be granted at 1st or 2nd hearing would become a deviation when parole is denied at the 2nd hearing):

# Of Actions to Deny Parole that Deviated:	22
Total Number of Discretionary Denials:	1233
Percent of Deviation:	1.8%

The following represents the number of inmates who were granted parole when the guideline recommended parole should be denied:

# Of Actions to Grant Parole that Deviated:	7
Total Number of Discretionary Grants:	2117
Percent of Deviation:	0.3%

## Section 4: Summary of parole actions by offense group

The following charts represent parole actions by offense group as defined by the Department of Corrections. Offenses appearing in the "Sex" category include offenses such as "Prostitution with HIV," "Pandering" and "Failure to Register as a Sex Offender." Offenses that involved violence during the commission of the offense, but with an actual conviction title that would not by itself appear to be violent will not appear in the "Violence" offense group (i.e., a Burglary conviction that included striking a resident would appear in the "Property" offense group). Offenses appearing in the "Other" offense group includes offenses that cannot be readily categorized into one of the other offense groups (i.e., Habitual Criminals, gaming related offenses and Victim over 65 Enhancement which could be a property or violent offense).

	Sex	Violence	Drug	Property	DUI	Other	Total
Discretionary Parole Hearings	310	1151	481	909	99	400	3350
Disc. Parole Hearings Granted	115	572	405	668	80	277	2117
Percent Favorable	37%	50%	84%	73%	81%	69%	63%

Mandatory Parole Hearings	106	678	143	284	81	149	1441
Mandatory Hearings Granted	77	458	128	217	77	110	1067
Percent Favorable	73%	68%	90%	76%	95%	74%	74%

<b>Total Disc. &amp; Mand. Parole Hearings</b>	<b>416</b>	<b>1829</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>1193</b>	<b>180</b>	<b>549</b>	<b>4791</b>
<b>Total Parole Grants</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>1030</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>885</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>387</b>	<b>3184</b>
<b>Percent Favorable</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>66%</b>

Parole Violation Reinstatement Analysis							
Continue on Parole (reinstatement)	6	18	6	12	1	9	52
Reinstatement Mandatory Parole	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Revoke and Reinstatement	4	23	7	17	1	8	60
30 Day Revoke & Reinstatement	33	73	55	138	7	85	391
90 Day Revoke & Reinstatement	9	18	8	34	1	17	87
180 Day Revoke & Reinstatement	2	4	0	1	0	2	9
Early Discharge Grants	0	4	5	5	3	1	18

<b>Total PV Hearings</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>984</b>
<b>Total Reinstated</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>121</b>	<b>599</b>
<b>Percent Favorable</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>61%</b>

<b>Total Hearings (actionable)</b>	<b>485</b>	<b>2056</b>	<b>756</b>	<b>1568</b>	<b>194</b>	<b>716</b>	<b>5775</b>
<b>Total Favorable (actionable)</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>1166</b>	<b>609</b>	<b>1087</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>508</b>	<b>3783</b>
<b>Percent Favorable</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>66%</b>

\*\*Source: NOTIS PARPBQ Annual report.

\*\*PV Hearings do not include No Actions

## Reasons for taking No Action

<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Reason</i>
75	Inmate was moved after hearing date was set. Will be rescheduled upon publication of new eligibility list.
57	Inmate not eligible due to new felony conviction. Inmate will be re-scheduled when eligible.
205	Inmate was not available at the time of the hearing.
59	No action taken due to lack of information needed to make a recommendation.
15	The inmate has a current or prior conviction for an offense listed in NRS 213.1214 and the required assessment was not provided to the Board by the hearing date. A parole hearing will be re-scheduled and conducted once the Board is in receipt of the evaluation.
6	Prior action to deny parole.
13	Prior action to grant parole.
23	Inmate refused to attend hearing.
77	Inmate not eligible due to change or correction in sentence structure or credits earned/lost per NDOC Records.
53	The inmate is in the custody of another jurisdiction and not enough information was provided to make an informed decision to grant or deny parole.
1	The inmate is a community trustee and did not appear for the hearing at the scheduled time.
398	The inmate is pending new criminal charges. Will be rescheduled once the new charges have been adjudicated.
17	The attorney appointed to represent the inmate during parole revocation proceedings was not present at the scheduled time.
11	The inmate needs an interpreter and one was not available for the hearing.
13	Inmate not given proper notice of the hearing.
17	Inmate not eligible for parole for reasons other than new sentence or credit adjustment.
2	Inmate expired prior to eligibility.
306	Inmate or inmate's counsel requested a continuance.
63	Hearing continued pending outcome of disciplinary charges.
1	Inmate needs to be considered by three commissioners, and three were not available at the hearing.
7	Inmate expired after being scheduled, but before the hearing took place.
50	Other:
12	No PSI, or specific information related to the offense was available to the Board at the time of the hearing.
17	The Board did not have access to the NDOC NOTIS database because of networking issues which resulted in a lack of access to information necessary to conduct the hearing or make an action recommendation.
80	The inmate indicates an interest in aggregating his/her consecutive sentence(s). No Action is taken to allow the inmate time to research and make a determination whether to opt-in.
1578	Total No Action Reasons