

CENTRAL OFFICE

1677 Old Hot Springs Rd., Ste. A
Carson City, Nevada 89706
<http://parole.nv.gov>
(775) 687-5049
Fax (775) 687-6736

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, *Chairman*
TONY CORDA, *Member*
SUSAN JACKSON, *Member*
MARY K. BAKER, *Member*

DARLA FOLEY, *Executive Secretary*

STATE OF NEVADA

STEVE SISOLAK
Governor



LAS VEGAS OFFICE

4000 S. Eastern Ave., Ste.130
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
<http://parole.nv.gov>
(702) 486-4370
Fax (702) 486-4376

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, *Chairman*
MICHAEL KEELER, *Member*
ERIC CHRISTIANSEN, *Member*
VACANT, *Member*

NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS

MINUTES

Meeting of the

Board of Parole Commissioners

June 08, 2020

NOTE: The following minutes have not been approved and are subject to revision at the next meeting of the Board.

The Board of Parole Commissioners held a public meeting on June 08, 2020, beginning at 9:00 AM at the following locations:

Conference room at the central office of the Board of Parole Commissioners, located at 1677 Old Hot Springs Road, Ste. A, Carson City, NV and video conference at the Parole Board Office, 4000 S. Eastern Avenue, Ste. 130, Las Vegas, NV.

I. Open Meeting, call to order, roll call 9:00 AM.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman DeRicco. Present in Carson City were Chairman DeRicco, Commissioner Corda, Commissioner Baker and Commissioner Jackson. Present in the Las Vegas office was Commissioner Christiansen, Commissioner Keeler was not present.

Support staff in attendance:

Darla Foley, Executive Secretary
Mary Flores, Administrative Assistant III

Members of the public present in Carson City included:

Officer Justin Gosser, Division of Parole and Probation
Richard Cornell, Attorney
Mark Quinlan

Members of the public present in Las Vegas included:

Nicki Gunkel
Darwin Robinson
Fred Gill
Michael Bass
Sherrod Robinson
Ora Johnson
Mike Horvath, Attorney
Kristina Wildeveld, Attorney
Heidi Cintas, Division of Parole and Probation

Yvonne Arellano, Division of Parole and Probation
Lea May Allendale, Division of Parole and Probation
Jose Vargas, Division of Parole and Probation
Teresa Tegano, Division of Parole and Probation
Mallory Triplett, Division of Parole and Probation

- II. Public Comment.** No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken pursuant to subparagraph (2) of NRS 241.020.

Public comment – Carson City, NV
 No public comment.

Public comment – Las Vegas, NV
 No public comment.

- III. For possible action:** Review/Approval of minutes from the May 12, 2020, Board meeting.

Motion:	Approve the corrected minutes from the May 12, 2020, Board meeting.
Made:	Commissioner Jackson
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion Passed

- IV. For possible action:** The Board will consider and act on applications requesting that the Board petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentences of the following parolees in accordance with subsection 2 of NRS 176.033. The Board will consider the reports and recommendation of the Division of Parole and Probation; written input received by interested parties; and any testimony by interested parties.

Gallegos, Jose, NDOC #9718, Criminal Case #CR-1188
DiGennaro, Ernest, NDOC #16996, Criminal Case #C81-933
Bass, Michael, NDOC #17813, Criminal Case #C59025
Gill, Fred, NDOC #25064, Criminal Case # 79414 CT 1 & 2, 77179
Lilly, Harry, NDOC #29894, Criminal Case #1656
Robinson, Sherrod, NDOC #38140, Criminal Case #103527
Robinson, Darwin, NDOC #43506, Criminal Case #119924

The Board may take no action, deny the request or act to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence. Pursuant to subsection 5(c)(3) of NRS 241.020, all the supporting documents are confidential and will not be provided to members of the public.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Gallegos. Mr. Gallegos was in attendance telephonically. Chairman DeRicco informed Mr. Gallegos that his counsel, Richard Cornell, was present in the Carson City office. Mr. Cornell referred to his letter to the Board dated August 15, 2019 and offered a summary of the information included in the letter. This information included a summary of the legal facts of the crime, Mr. Gallegos’s conduct while on parole, and his inability to discuss the facts of the case due to not having access to a Pre-Sentence

Investigation report. Mr. Cornell stated that Mr. Gallegos has been remarried for 40 years and has had no reported instances of domestic violence.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Gallegos verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crime of First-Degree Murder and that this is his first application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Gallegos's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Gallegos has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Gallegos verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Gallegos had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. Gallegos responded that he had not.

Mr. Gallegos was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. Gallegos stated that he is 80 years old and he has had no problems with parole officers, police officers and citizens while he has been on parole.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gallegos why he felt the crime had occurred. Mr. Gallegos stated that he had the weapon on him for protection while he was emptying trash over two miles away from his home. When he returned to the house the victim was holding a 22 rifle and pointing it at a neighbor. Mr. Gallegos took the weapon from the victim and emptied the barrel. Mr. Gallegos stated that at that time the victim started arguing with him and this ultimately resulted in Mr. Gallegos shooting the victim.

Commissioner Baker asked about a mental health report that was requested by the state of Massachusetts due to some problems he had with his officer at the time. Mr. Gallegos explained why he was having a conflict with the officer and Mr. Cornell also stated it was addressed in his August 15, 2019 letter to the Board.

Chairman DeRicco stated that the Division of Parole and Probation requested that Mr. Gallegos's application be denied. Ms. Cintas from Parole and Probation had no additional comments.

Motion:	The Board approve Mr. Gallegos's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Jackson
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	Jackson, Baker
Votes Opposed:	DeRicco, Christiansen, Corda
Results:	Motion denied.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. DiGennero. Mr. DiGennero was in attendance telephonically. Chairmen DeRicco then requested Mr. DiGennero verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crime of First-Degree Murder and that this is his first application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. DiGennero's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. DiGennero has completed at least 10 years

on parole supervision. Mr. DiGennero verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. DiGennero had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. DiGennero responded that he had not.

Mr. DiGennero was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. DiGennero stated that he is 68 years old and feels that it would be easier to travel and take care of family matters if he were off parole.

Mr. DiGennero confirmed that he has no other convictions and has not used any illegal drugs or alcohol while on parole. He also stated that his life would not change much if he was released from parole.

Commissioner Baker asked how often he is seen by his parole officer and how often he is drug tested. Mr. DiGennero stated that he sees his officer and is drug tested every other month.

Commissioner Jackson asked Mr. DiGennero the impact the crime had on the victims. Mr. DiGennero stated that he understands the anger and sadness that the victims and their families have but he knows he cannot make it better, but he does acknowledge the impact of his crime.

Chairman DeRicco stated that the Division of Parole and Probation requested that Mr. DiGennero's application be denied. Ms. Cintas from Parole and Probation stated that Mr. DiGennero has done perfectly on parole but there are also victims to consider.

Mark Quinlan, the brother of one of the victims, spoke about how his family and the other victims' families have suffered because of this offense. He stated that if Mr. DiGennero was truly remorseful for the offense, he would help the victims and not make them continually fight to make sure he serves his sentence. He also stated that sometime in the future he would like to see Mr. DiGennero speak to the surviving victim, Mr. Bailey, to understand how he has been impacted by the offense.

Mr. DiGennero stated that he truly feels bad and his heart goes out to the victims and their families.

Motion:	The Board deny Mr. DiGennero's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Jackson
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	Jackson, Baker, Christiansen, DeRicco
Votes Opposed:	Commissioner Corda
Results:	Motion Passed

The Board asked if Fred Gill was present. Mr. Gill and his counsel, Mike Horvath, were present in the Las Vegas office.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Gill verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crimes of Sexual Assault, Count I First-Degree

Kidnapping, and Count II Sexual Assault and that this is his second application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Gill's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Gill has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Gill verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Gill had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentences, to which Mr. Gill responded that he had not.

Mr. Gill was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. Gill stated that he was remorseful, he did his time, completed programs, has a home and a job, and would like to travel with his family.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill why these crimes occurred. Mr. Gill stated that he was involved in drugs, picked up a girl, and tried to have sex with her. An officer asked them what was happening, and the girl told the officer that Mr. Gill was trying to rape her. When asked why he did it, Mr. Gill responded that he knew it was wrong, but was not sure what he was doing at the time.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill what was going on in his life at the time of the offense. Mr. Gill stated he did not have problems at that time. He then stated he got involved with the wrong people and ended up in prison.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill to tell the Board about the victim in the case. Mr. Gill responded saying he did not know the victim prior to that day, and he regrets taking her out to have sex with her.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill if he has had any other arrests since he was released from prison for his offenses. Mr. Gill has not been arrested since then.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill since under parole supervision, has he used any illegal drugs or alcohol. Mr. Gill has not used drugs for 33 years but has had alcohol while under supervision.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Gill if his application was granted, how would his life change or what would be different. Mr. Gill would like to go back to work and be able to accept more jobs since he is a truck driver. His grandchildren are afraid when the officer comes into the home for inspection.

Commissioner Corda stated that drugs caused Mr. Gill's problems and he had a sexual offense prior to the current offense. He followed up asking Mr. Gill why he committed another offense. Mr. Gill responded saying he had not learned anything. He followed up saying he needed help but did not know how to ask for the help.

Chairman DeRicco asked if a member from the Division of Parole & Probation was present. Sergeant Triplett was present in Las Vegas. The Division requested that the Board deny the modification request.

Sergeant Triplett stated that since Mr. Gill's supervision, there was a minor issue regarding counseling in the early 2000s, but he has had no issues with alcohol or drugs. Mr. Gill has been compliant with all his conditions.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Horvath. Mr. Horvath mentioned a sentence report that was submitted to the Board. He continued by talking about Mr. Gill's life at the time of the offenses and would like the Board to take that into consideration when making their decision. While in prison, Mr. Gill had completed numerous programs and saved up money for his family. He has a good relationship with his family and his family supports him. He has a stable job, is involved with his grandchildren, and his family knows he has changed. Mr. Horvath believes that going to jail saved Mr. Gill's life. According to Mr. Gill's risk assessments, his doctor considers him a low risk.

The Board considered all evidence and information provided when making its determination.

Motion:	The Board approve Mr. Gill's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Corda
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	Corda, Baker, Jackson
Votes Opposed:	DeRicco, Christiansen
Results:	Motion Passed

The Board asked if Sherrod Robinson was present. Mr. Robinson and his counsel, Kristina Wildeveld, were present in Las Vegas.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Robinson verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crime of First-Degree Murder and that this is his first application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Robinson's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Robinson has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Robinson verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Robinson had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. Robinson responded that he had not.

Mr. Robinson was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. Robinson believes he is doing things in life that he should be doing to be productive. He has had jobs and has completed an apprenticeship program. He's constantly worried about going back to prison and getting his parole revoked. Currently, he feels he is at the best in his life. Due to his career, obtaining travel permits becomes an issue. He is planning on getting married and starting a family but is holding off due to his parole.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson why this crime occurred. Mr. Robinson responded saying that he tried getting a job from the Culinary Union but was not successful. Mr. Robinson continued by saying that no one was supposed to be at home at the time the offense occurred, and he was instructed to leave if anyone was in the home. Chairman

DeRicco followed up asking if no one was supposed to be home, why did Mr. Robinson and his co-defendant bring guns with them? Mr. Robinson responded saying that in his ignorance and immaturity he felt like he needed to be armed. He stated he did not intend for it to escalate further. He provided that his co-defendant shot and killed the victim.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson what was going on in his life at the time of the offense. Mr. Robinson did and still does not do illegal drugs or drink alcohol. Mr. Robinson was going through financial hardship and couldn't find employment to provide for himself.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson to tell the Board about the victim in the case. Mr. Robinson stated that he did not know the victim and that he understands that he hurt the victim's family. Mr. Robinson also explained an incident that occurred during his time on parole regarding a police officer. Mr. Robinson has nightmares about going back to prison.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson if his application was granted, how would his life change or what would be different. Mr. Robinson responded saying that he has a lingering fear that he may lose everything. Mr. Robinson would like to travel and have a family without fear. Chairman DeRicco followed up asking the status of Mr. Robinson's co-defendant, who is Mr. Robinson's cousin. Mr. Robinson's provided that his cousin is still in prison and he sends money to him. He stated he cannot speak much on his cousin's behalf, but he knows if he could do it all over again, he would've done something different with his life.

Chairman DeRicco called on Officer Allendale from the Division of Parole and Probation. Officer Allendale had no recommendations but followed the Division's request to deny the modification.

Chairman DeRicco called on Kristina Wildeveld. Ms. Wildeveld believes Mr. Robinson's appeal was compelling. She reinforced Mr. Robinson's reasons and explanations he gave to the Board. Ms. Wildeveld believes Mr. Robinson is worthy to be released from lifetime supervision.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Robinson. Mr. Robinson provided that he has had numerous parole officers and believes the Division cannot recommend him because the officers never get a chance to know him. He also believes if he had a parole officer long-term, the officer would recommend him for release from parole.

The Board considered all evidence and information provided when making its determination.

Motion:	The Board approve Mr. Robinson's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Baker
Seconded By:	Commissioner Christiansen
Votes in Favor:	Baker, Jackson, Corda, Christensen
Votes Opposed:	DeRicco
Results:	Motion Passed

The Board asked if Michael Bass was present. Mr. Bass was present in Las Vegas.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Bass to verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crime of Murder and that this is his second application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Bass' application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Bass has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Bass verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Bass had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. Bass responded that he had in 2006 due to marijuana use.

Mr. Bass was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. Bass provided that he feels like he paid his debt and is nothing like his younger self.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass why these crimes occurred. Mr. Bass provided that he was on his way to meet his friend's girlfriend's cousin. Mr. Bass stated the cousin was on drugs and was acting crazy. Chairman DeRicco followed up asking what Mr. Bass' involvement was. Mr. Bass responded saying that he was involved by association. Chairman DeRicco then followed up asking what Mr. Bass could've done to prevent the offense. Mr. Bass responded saying first he tried to talk him out of committing the crime and then decided to not participate.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass to tell the Board about the victim's family in the case. Mr. Bass responded saying he was very sorry for the impact on the victim's family.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass if he has had any other convictions. Mr. Bass responded saying he was convicted of escape while in prison.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass since the 2006 parole revoke, has he used any illegal drugs or alcohol. Mr. Bass responded with a no.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass if his application was granted, how would his life change or what would be different. Mr. Bass replied saying he wasn't sure, but it would be a big relief and a burden off his shoulders. Chairman DeRicco followed up asking if Mr. Bass was still involved in mental health counseling. Mr. Bass responded saying yes. Chairman DeRicco then followed up asking how long Mr. Bass has been attending the counseling. Mr. Bass responded saying many years. Chairman DeRicco followed up again asking if the counseling has been beneficial. Mr. Bass responded saying yes. Chairman DeRicco asked for verification that Mr. Bass was running with gangs during the time of offense. Mr. Bass verified that fact was true.

Commissioner Baker asked for clarification regarding the Presentence Report. She stated that it reads that Mr. Bass turned himself in after the offense, but Mr. Bass was not the shooter. Mr. Bass verified that fact was true.

Chairman DeRicco called on Officer Vargas from the Division of Parole and Probation. The Division had no recommendations but followed the Division's request to deny the modification.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Bass. Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Bass if he had a closing statement. Mr. Bass concluded saying he hoped he could get approved so he can remove the burden.

The Board considered all evidence and information provided when making its determination.

Motion:	The Board approve Mr. Bass' request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Corda
Seconded By:	Commissioner Jackson
Votes in Favor:	Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	DeRicco
Results:	Motion Passed

The Board asked if Darwin Robinson was present. Mr. Robinson was present in Las Vegas.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Robinson verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for his crime of Second-Degree Murder and that this is his first application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Robinson's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Robinson has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Robinson verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Robinson had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. Robinson responded that he had not.

Mr. Robinson was asked why he believes it would be appropriate for the Parole Board to petition the court to remove his lifetime parole supervision condition from his parole on this case. Mr. Robinson believes he has completed everything asked of him during his time on parole and feels that he has been a good person in society.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson why these crimes occurred. Mr. Robinson responded saying it was an accident and had no intention to commit the offense. Chairman DeRicco followed up asking if Mr. Robinson pleaded guilty to the offense or if he went to trial. Mr. Robinson responded saying he went to trial, but later agreed to an Alford plea.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson what was going on in his life at the time of the offense. Mr. Robinson stated he was not in the house when the offense occurred and presented his evidence allowing him to accept an Alford plea.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson to tell the Board about the victim in the case. Mr. Robinson stated that the victim was his son and he had shaken him. Chairman DeRicco followed up asking about the victim's mother. Mr. Robinson responded saying they are not married, but she is still in Mr. Robinson's life.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson if he has had any other convictions. Mr. Robinson stated he does not have any other convictions.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Robinson if his application was granted, how would his life change or what would be different. Mr. Robinson responded saying that his life wouldn't change much, but he would like to be able to travel with his fiancée without having to plan around his monthly meetings with his officer.

Commissioner Baker asked Mr. Robinson for confirmation that he took the Alford plea, but did not cause harm to the child. Mr. Robinson confirmed that statement. Commissioner Baker followed up asking if there was a reason a Presentence Report was not completed for the case. Mr. Robinson stated he did not know that there wasn't a Presentence Report done until his officer brought it to his attention.

Chairman DeRicco called on Officer Trimming from the Division of Parole and Probation. Officer Trimming was speaking via telephone. The Division's recommendation was to deny Mr. Robinson's modification request.

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Robinson. Chairman DeRicco asked if Mr. Robinson had a closing statement. Mr. Robinson said thank you for the opportunity.

The Board considered all evidence and information provided when making its determination.

Motion:	The Board deny Mr. Robinson's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Jackson
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion Passed

Chairman DeRicco called on Mr. Lilly. Mr. Lilly was in attendance telephonically.

Chairman DeRicco then requested Mr. Lilly verify, to wit, that he is being supervised by the Division of Parole and Probation for the offense of Murder in the First Degree and that this is his first application for modification of sentence. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Parole Board had reviewed as part of Mr. Lilly's application his grant order, judgement of conviction, presentence report, and additional documentation included in the file. Chairman DeRicco stated that Mr. Lilly has completed at least 10 years on parole supervision. Mr. Lilly verified that these facts were accurate. Chairman DeRicco then asked if Mr. Lilly had ever been revoked on parole as part of the sentence, to which Mr. Lilly responded that he had not.

Chairman DeRicco asked Mr. Lilly why he feels he should be released from his sentence. Mr. Lilly stated that 31 years has gone by since he committed the offense. He is remorseful for taking a human life and its something he will live with for the rest of his life.

Chairman DeRicco asked why this crime occurred. Mr. Lilly stated that he and his wife were having problems and his intention was to try to talk it out with her. He went to the home armed with a gun. They started arguing and the argument escalated to a point where

his wife tried to phone the police. The gun discharged and his wife was killed. He denied ever stating that he thought his wife was going for her gun.

Chairman DeRicco asked if Mr. Lilly has had any contact with the victim's family, he stated he has not.

Chairman DeRicco asked if Mr. Lilly has any other offenses or if he has used any illegal drugs or alcohol, he responded that he has not.

Chairman DeRicco asked how his life would change if he was released from parole. Mr. Lilly stated that it would help in getting a job and remove the stigma of being a parolee.

Mr. Lilly stated that he feels remorse and is sincerely sorry about the committing the offense.

Chairman DeRicco stated that the Division of Parole and Probation requested that Mr. Lilly's application be denied. Ms. Arellano from Parole and Probation stated she had no additional comments.

Motion:	The Board deny Mr. Lilly's request to petition the court of original jurisdiction to modify the sentence.
Made:	Commissioner Corda
Seconded By:	Commissioner Christiansen
Votes in Favor:	Corda, Christiansen, Jackson, Baker, DeRicco
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion Passed.

- V. **For possible action:** Review of Geriatric Parole Application and Verification forms per NRS 213.12155. The Board will review the Geriatric Parole forms and may take action to modify and/or approve both forms.

The Board considered the statute on May 28, 2020 with the proposed wording for the regulation and it has been sent to LCB. The Board, as well as NDOC, reviewed the forms and no changes were requested.

Commissioner Baker brought to Chairman DeRicco's attention that there was a change with the wording at the bottom of the Verification form. The change added "This document may be revised from time to time without notice." The proposed form is for the Board's website and does not need to be sent to LCB.

Chairman DeRicco suggested to remove the revised date of April 30, 2020 on the Verification form since the document is still a draft and is not yet been approved by the Board. Revision date was removed.

Motion:	The Board approve the modified Geriatric Parole Application and Verification forms per NRS 213.12155.
Made:	Commissioner DeRicco
Seconded By:	Commissioner Corda
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion Passed

- VI. For possible action:** Review of the form pertaining to requests for the Board to adopt, file, amend or repeal regulations pursuant to NRS 233B.100. The Board will review the form and may take action to modify and/or approve the form.

The Board considered the statute on May 28, 2020 with the proposed wording for the regulation and it has been sent to LCB. This form is to be placed on the Board’s website and/or be made available to individuals upon request. The form covers everything in the statutes.

Commissioner Baker suggested to include “per NRS 233B.100” on the form. No objections to proposed change.

The Board discussed adding a line to include what type of regulation is being petitioned, such as a code, NRS, etc. Chairman DeRicco suggested to add “NRS/NAC” to the form. Commissioner Corda agreed and added that “NRS/NAC” should be added after the ZIP code line. No objections to the changes.

Motion:	The Board approve the attached form as modified pertaining to requests for the Board to adopt, file, amend or repeal regulations pursuant to NRS 233B.100.
Made:	Chairman DeRicco
Seconded By:	Commissioner Baker
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion Passed

- VII. Public Comment.** No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken pursuant to subparagraph (2) of NRS 241.020.

Public comment – Carson City, NV

No public comment.

Public comment – Las Vegas, NV

No public comment.

- VIII. For possible action:** The Board may act to adjourn the meeting.

Motion:	To adjourn the June 8, 2020 meeting of the Nevada Board of Parole Commissioners
Made:	Chairman DeRicco
Seconded By:	Commissioner Jackson
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Corda, Jackson, Baker, Christiansen
Votes Opposed:	None
Results:	Motion passed

DRAFT