CENTRAL OFFICE

1677 Old Hot Springs Rd., Ste. A Carson City, Nevada 89706 http://parole.nv.gov (775) 687-5049 Fax (775) 687-6736

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, Chairman SUSAN JACKSON, Member MARY K. BAKER, Member SCOTT WEISENTHAL, Member

KATIE FRAKER, Executive Secretary

STATE OF NEVADA STEVE SISOLAK Governor



LAS VEGAS OFFICE 4000 S. Eastern Ave., Ste.130 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

4000 S. Eastern Ave., Ste.130 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 http://parole.nv.gov (702) 486-4370 Fax (702) 486-4376

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, Chairman ERIC CHRISTIANSEN, Member DONNA VERCHIO, Member LAMICIA BAILEY, Member

NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS

MINUTES Meeting of the Board of Parole Commissioners

May 31, 2022

NOTE: The following minutes have not been approved and are subject to revision at the next meeting of the Board.

The Board of Parole Commissioners held a public meeting on May 31, 2022, beginning at 1:30 PM at the following locations:

Conference room at the central office of the Board of Parole Commissioners, located at 1677 Old Hot Springs Road, Ste. A, Carson City, NV, and video conference at the Parole Board Office, 4000 S. Eastern Avenue, Ste. 130, Las Vegas, NV.

I. Open Meeting, call to order, roll call 1:30 PM.

The meeting was called to order by Chairman DeRicco. Present in Carson City were Commissioner Jackson, Commissioner Baker, Chairman Weisenthal, and Chairman DeRicco. Present in the Las Vegas office were Commissioner Christiansen, Commissioner Verchio, and Commissioner Bailey.

Support staff in attendance:

Katie Fraker, Executive Secretary Kelly Mellinger, Hearings Examiner II Darla Foley, Hearing Examiner I Forrest Harter, Hearing Examiner I Mary Flores, Administrative Assistant III

Members of the public present in Carson City included:

Katie Brady, Deputy Attorney General

Members of the public present in Las Vegas included:

Patricia Adkisson

II. Public Comment. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken pursuant to subparagraph (2) of NRS 241.020.

Public comment – Carson City, NV

See attached written public comment from John Quintero #93282

Public comment – Las Vegas, NV

Patricia Adkisson – see submitted public comment documents

III. For possible action: Review/Approval of minutes from the April 27, 2022, Board meeting.

Motion:	Approve the minutes from the April 27, 2022, Board meeting.	
Made:	Commissioner Jackson	
Seconded By:	Commissioner Bailey	
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Jackson, Baker, Weisenthal, Christiansen, Verchio,	
	Bailey	
Votes Opposed:	None	
Results:	Motion passed	

IV. <u>For discussion and possible action:</u> The Board will discuss and may take action to update and or modify the Aggravating and Mitigating Factors Definitions.

Chairman DeRicco opened this agenda item by stating that at the last meeting this document was reviewed, and suggested language changes were made for greater clarification. He also stated that previously the Board adopted regulation R115-21P that has now been sent to the Legislative Counsel Bureau to finalize. He stated that the proposed regulation revised and reorganized the language of the aggravating and mitigating factors that the Board may consider; and providing other matters properly relating thereto. He stated it is up to the Board to approve these definitions and this document. He stated he would read through all the definitions and entertain discussion on the document. He then read through the document with the proposed changes from the last meeting.

Under the second section 'Prior conviction or delinquency adjudication for a sexual offense,' Commissioner Weisenthal asked if the Board needed to define "prior." Commissioner Weisenthal gave the example of an inmate who is serving a sentence for a sexual offense and has a consecutive sentence for a burglary. He questioned if the inmate in the above example discharged the sexual offense and moved to the consecutive sentence of the burglary if that would make the sexual offense a prior conviction. He asked if "prior" meant prior from the date of the hearing, prior from the sentence structure, or prior from the booking number. He stated he wanted to be clear that what is being defined as a prior sex conviction is consistent for everyone working-up files.

Commissioner Baker stated her question was what statute is being used to define a sex offense. She asked whether it was NRS 179D which requires mandatory conditions of parole or the NRS 213 definition. Commissioner Weisenthal stated that the Board can count misdemeanors and gross misdemeanors as sex offenses. Chairman DeRicco stated that the Board can count all types of prior sexual offenses.

Commissioner Weisenthal stated that he believed when an offense was part of an inmate's current sentence structure that it could not be counted as a prior offense, because it was currently being served.

Commissioner Verchio stated the Board needs to use simple language and that to her, prior means prior.

Commissioner Weisenthal stated that he was speaking with Kelly Mellinger, and when she works up a file, if a sexual offense has discharged and the inmate is now serving a consecutive sentence, she will

count the sexual offense as a prior conviction. Kelly Mellinger agreed that she will count that discharged sexual offense as a prior sex conviction.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that he believed prior meant prior to that period of incarceration.

Chairman DeRicco stated this is why the Board is discussing these definitions. Chairman DeRicco stated that if there was a sexual offense that happened prior to an inmate's current period of incarceration he would count that as a prior sex conviction. He then gave the example of a person that committed a string of burglaries and during one of the burglaries also committed a sexual assault. He stated the Board's current definition uses the phrase "prior conviction," and in this example the burglaries and sexual assault might have been convicted all on the same date. He stated with the current definition this example would not qualify as a prior sex conviction even if they are consecutive sentences. He also stated the current definition also excludes inmates convicted of sexual offenses while incarcerated. He stated those charges would not be prior to their current conviction. Chairman DeRicco suggested the possibility of removing the word "prior" in this aggravating factor definition.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that he would rather focus on a person's conduct than the timeframe. He stated that they could be seeing an inmate for a burglary conviction and due to DNA evidence, they have since been convicted of a sexual offense that happened prior to the burglary but was convicted of it after. He stated the conduct is more important to him.

Chairman DeRicco recommended striking the word "prior" from the definition, so it reads, "Conviction or delinquency adjudication for a sexual offense." He stated that it would be an aggravating factor in his mind if the inmate has a conviction or delinquency adjudication for a sexual offense. Commissioner Baker asked if that would include the instant offense. Chairman DeRicco stated he would not count it on the sexual offense.

Commissioner Jackson stated that "prior" means "previous." She stated that "prior" is used throughout the entire document, in both aggravating and mitigating factors. She stated that to her "prior" means prior to the instant offense. She asked if the Board changes it in this section is the Board going to change it in the other sections, such as prior violent convictions. She stated she thinks the Board is overcomplicating it and that "prior" means previous.

Commissioner Verchio agreed with Commissioner Jackson. She stated that "prior" happened at a different time and there was time for the inmate to change or learn from their behavior from their prior acts.

Chairman DeRicco stated that his main concern with the definition as it is now is that a person could be convicted of a serious sexual offense through DNA evidence during their incarceration for a lesser crime. He stated that there could be a serial sexual rapist who through DNA evidence is convicted, but because our aggravating factor definition says, "prior conviction," the Board will not be able to use that factor because they were convicted after the instant offense even though the conduct occurred prior to the instant offense.

Commissioner Verchio stated that at some point the past conduct will be a prior conviction if the person commits more crimes in the future. Chairman DeRicco understood and agreed that is how it is with the current definition. He posed the question to the Board of whether that was right, or if it should be

changed to include sex offenses that the convictions occurred after the instant offense, but the conduct occurred before.

Commissioner Baker recommended changing the definition to read, "The factor may be indicated if the inmate has a prior sexual conviction or delinquency adjudication, or the sexual conviction offense occurred prior to the offense being considered but the conviction was later." She stated this would allow the Board to consider the circumstances where DNA evidence was found or a conviction happened later, but before the hearing for which the person was being considered.

Chairman DeRicco stated he was not opposed to that change. He stated he was also not opposed to leaving the definition as it currently reads where prior means prior. He stated the Board needs to come to a conclusion so the definition can be applied consistently.

Commissioner Weisenthal stated the reason he originally brought this up was because he was concerned about this aggravating factor being used when the sexual offense was part of the inmate's current sentence structure. He stated that during the time he has been a commissioner, he has not been applying that aggravating factor in these cases, where others have. Chairman DeRicco stated that at the top of the document it states, "The Board *may* apply any other factor as it deems appropriate." He stated this gives the Board some leeway as it does not say must or shall, and it is up to each person as to whether they deem a factor appropriate or not. He added that the Board could add in the definition wording about the sentence structure and that the definition does not apply to consecutive sentences.

Commissioner Jackson suggested that since these examples happen so infrequently, the Board could use the "Other Aggravating Factors" and keep this aggravating factor as is. Chairman DeRicco stated that he liked that suggestion and agreed that the previous examples were uncommon.

Katie Brady suggested changing the definition to read, "This factor may be indicated if the inmate has a prior sexual offense resulting in a conviction or delinquency adjudication." She explained this way, the prior would be related to the offense and not the conviction. She stated the Board could also add, "This factor does not apply if the prior conviction is part of the current sentence structure," if the Board wanted.

Chairman DeRicco and Commissioner Baker agreed that language clarified the Board's intent.

Darla Foley asked the Board to clarify between sentence structure and booking number. She explained that sentence structure may be three different counts and the booking number may be three different cases.

Commissioner Baker stated that she thought sentence structure should refer to the same case. She gave an example of a probation violator whose probation is revoked because they are convicted of a new offense, and that the Board cannot count that probation revocation on the risk assessment as a prior conviction because they then come to prison for the probation revocation and the new conviction under the same booking number. She stated for that reason, she thinks it should be by case and not by booking number.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that he thinks it should be the date of the conduct. He stated this can easily be found on the Judgment of Conviction. He stated that if it happened at the same time as the

instant offense, it would not be counted as a prior, but if it happened five years prior to the instant offense, then it would be used as an aggravating factor.

Commissioner Weisenthal asked if every probation violator that gets revoked will receive a prior felony. Commissioner Baker responded that if a probationer is convicted of a new offense, and they now have a sentence for the new offense, their original sentence that was suspended is now a prison sentence; in her opinion that is a prior offense.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that in Commissioner Baker's example that could be captured in aggravating factor #24 (commission of a crime while incarcerated, on bail, on escape status, eluding, or while under parole or probation supervision). He reiterated that to him the date of conduct is what is important to him. He stated in Chairman DeRicco's previous example of DNA evidence being found, if that conduct happened five years earlier, even if the conviction happened later, he thinks that should be an aggravating factor.

Commissioner Verchio stated she thinks prior has to be a conviction prior to the offenses the Board is conducting the hearing on. She stated the Board must stick to the offenses that are in play at the time of the hearing. She stated that inmates are allowed to aggregate consecutive sentences, which does not allow the Board to consider one sentence prior to another. She stated she does not think the date of the conduct is more important than the date of conviction or when the sentence structure is handed down. She reiterated that the Board needs to keep it simple where any person on the street would be able to understand what prior conviction means.

Commissioner Bailey agreed with Commissioner Verchio and Commissioner Jackson. She stated that the Board is making something very simple very complicated. She stated she could understand adding the language that Katie Brady incorporated, but ultimately feels that prior conviction is very simple.

Commissioner Christiansen stated that when a person goes out on parole and is picked up on an old warrant, the Board does not punish them for that crime because it happened before their parole. He stated that is why he is focused more on conduct than the time period. He stated that the aggravating factors focus on conduct. He also stated that if something is close, he will most likely fall on the side on the offender.

Chairman DeRicco stated that everyone has made excellent points and that there has been great discussion. He stated that as the definition reads currently is very simple and that a prior conviction is a prior conviction. He stated that this is very black and white and is clear for everyone, including the public and inmates, about when this factor should be used. Chairman DeRicco read the definition as it currently stands with no changes. Commissioner Jackson agreed that no changes needed to be made. Commissioner Verchio stated that hearing examiners, hearing representatives, and commissioners need to be consistent when working-up files. Chairman DeRicco stated that this factor should only be applied if there is a prior sexual conviction. The Board agreed.

There was no further discussion on this section.

Chairman DeRicco continued to read through the document.

Under the section 'Multiple prior parole or probation revocations,' Commissioner Baker asked that when the Board does not count a deferred sentence or diversion term, this often includes drug court, and

she wanted to know why. Chairman DeRicco responded that he believed this is because it is not a conviction.

Chairman DeRicco continued to read through the document.

After reading through the aggravating factor definitions, Chairman DeRicco asked if there was any further discussion. There was no further discussion.

Chairman DeRicco began reading the mitigating factor definitions.

Kelly Mellinger asked about the documentation required in the definition for 'Stable Release Plan.' She stated in the past, the hearings examiners would take the information in the Parole Board Report as proof of stable release plan if the inmate put an address for residence and listed planned employment. She asked if that would still be sufficient proof to use this mitigating factor or if the Board would require additional documentation.

Chairman DeRicco stated that the discussion from the last meeting was that the hearing panel could determine if they could substantiate the stable release plan, whether that was through additional documentation or through the hearing. Ms. Mellinger asked if the hearings examiners should indicate 'stable release plans' during their work-ups if both are indicated in the Board Report. Chairman DeRicco affirmed they should, and it would be up to the Board to substantiate that information at the hearing.

There was no further discussion regarding the mitigating factors.

Chairman DeRicco then read through other factors.

There was no further discussion.

Chairman DeRicco stated there were a couple strikethroughs that he failed to remove from the document, but other than that, there were no changes to the document that came from today's meeting.

Motion:	Approve the Aggravating and Mitigating Factors Definitions	
	document as distributed, contingent upon the by the Legislative	
	Counsel Bureau	
Made:	Chairman DeRicco	
Seconded By:	Commissioner Jackson	
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Jackson, Baker, Weisenthal, Christiansen, VERCHIO,	
	Bailey	
Votes Opposed:	None	
Results:	Motion passed	

V. <u>Public Comment.</u> No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken pursuant to subparagraph (2) of NRS 241.020.

<u>Public comment – Carson City, NV</u> No public comment. Public comment – Las Vegas, NV No public comment.

VI. <u>For possible action</u>: The Board may act to adjourn the meeting.

Motion:	To adjourn the May 31, 2022, meeting of the Nevada Board of	
	Parole Commissioners.	
Made:	Commissioner Baker	
Seconded By:	Commissioner Christiansen	
Votes in Favor:	DeRicco, Jackson, Baker, Weisenthal, Christiansen, Verchio,	
	Bailey	
Votes Opposed:	None	
Results:	Motion passed	



John Grinters 93782 NWCC POBOX 2000 Canson Cify NV 89702 Board of Parole Commissioners
1677 Old Hot Springs Rd Ste A Rm 201
Conson City NV 89206 Re: Meeting of May 31 / Comments on Mitigating / Aggravating Factors Greetings: Please put this plea on the public record: Coursently the practice of the Board is to use a subjective/clinical application of mitigating and aggravating factors. This practice style was infamously used by the NDOC'S now dismantled "Bych Panel." There was expert criticism against this subjective / clinical approach in determining the risk to fail on parole. This subjectifical style is used to override objective instrument indicators of high probability of success. I have been personally re-sentence, Three times. Whether you like it a not, your role as grasi-judicial extension at the sentencing court has the effect of incremental increases of penal servitude. I make a plea that the Board develop an objective weighted system assigning numeric value for each positive and negative factor based on actuarial data that correlates Known data

that indicates the factor reduces or increases the liklihood of success on parole without recidivism. Each Pactois numeric value, positive or negative would then be totalled with the value ASSIZUED by the instrument supprescore supplied by NOOC and shaved with the Inmate and the public prior to the heaving date to allow for inmate or victim to weigh in about the derived Thank you for your consideration

Katherine Fraker

From:

Patricia Adkisson <faithandjoesmom@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, May 30, 2022 8:16 PM

To:

General Parole Board email

Subject:

Board of Parole Commissioner Meeting Public Comments 5/31/22

<u>WARNING</u> - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Please submit the following for public comment for the BOPC (Parole) Meeting on 5/31/22. Along with the 13 attachments. Thank you. The other 2 pages need to be sent separate as it is too large to send in one email.

Good afternoon, my name is Patricia Adkisson. In January and February, I submitted proof of the board's actions that work to prevent the lawful execution of NRS 193.165. The board's action effectively prevents the lawful execution of NRS. 213.107 to NRS. 213.157 inclusive.

As of today's meeting, the board has not placed the matter on the agenda for discussion or possible action. This omission implicates the board's intent and serves to preserve a custom and practice that either works to prevent the execution of the related statutes or works to violate others. Along with today's comments for the boards review, I submitted a 239 public records request previously submitted that details in relevant part the described acts and omissions, which implicate the very existence of this board's Power. To be clear, my husband, Michael Adkisson, was granted "institutional parole". This condition by the

board is not within the power or authority of the board. Simply because the condition of parole related to institutional parole is predicated upon NRS 193.165 which is not a crime, nor does it result in a conviction. Without prompt action, converting my husband's Institutional Parole into a parole to the street, the board will be firmly established as the principal bad actor in this matter. Please take steps to immediately cure this defect, as a criminal complaint is eminent. This will allow us to remove the board as a named defendant.

Additionally, related to the aggravating and mitigating factors, in order to comply with the legislative command to adopt objective standards for granting parole. The board cannot consider factors that are not relevant in determining the probability that a convicted person will live and remain at liberty without violating the law, if parole is granted. Simply put, this means, that the board cannot use the aggravating or mitigating factors at all, at any time, when deciding to Grant Parole. These factors do not provide any objective criteria for determining the persons probability of success on parole, and no score or value is assigned in relation to a standard or actuarial usage. This custom and practice is a relic of past structural bias applied in a wholly subjective fashion. We object to the continued use of aggravating and mitigating factors as a basis to deny parole. Thank you for your time. Patricia Adkisson

INDEX	OF	ATT	ACHMENTS
RELATED	TO	239	REQUEST

Attachment NO.	Description	NA pas
ONE (I)	Rublic comments submitted at the January Lanz	ane (1)
	BOARD OF PARALE COMMUSSIANERS MEETING	
	Resords also detailing the Gupnission of the actual falsified Records	

Two (2) Public Comments Submitted at the February ASS ONE(1) Boased of PARQUE Commissionless MEETING Detailing Improper reliance upon "Subrictive" legal interpretation and e by Executive Bandon N.D.O.L. and utilized by the Board of Palak Comm. Densiting the Board Statutory predicately VIOLATIONS

THEEE (3)	Public RECORD REGUEST ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ONE(I)
HALLEN FILM	Secretary Kathe Fraker Detailing the Fact	
	that the BOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS	
	The Nevada Department of Corrections TO	
	LISE IN PARQUE MATTERS (TO the detriment	
A PROPERTY OF STREET	of the Public)	

	Commence of the Commence of th
Four (4)	Criminal History Record provided by The CENTRAL TWO (2)
	REPOSITORY FOR NEVADA RECORDS OF CRIMINAL
	Compliance Division, DETAILING MY ACTUAL
	CONVICTION RECORD ESTABLISHES THAT I have
	NOT Suffered a conviction For N.R.S. 193.165 Single Felony Conviction related to N.R.S. 200.030
	MERDERIN THE SECOND DESKEE IS THE ONLY FELONY CONVICTION IN EXISTENCE DEMONSTRATING
RINE NYA	the Boards Claim of a SECOND FELONY CONVICTION OF A SECOND FELONY
	NO CRIME of traviction Provides execution of 193.45

-/

Patricia Adkisson

702-505-2861

faithandioesmom@gmail.com

Board of Parole Commissioners 4000 S. Eastern Ave Ste. 130 Rm 301 Las Vegas, NV. 89119 Attachment · I ·
may 31ST Board of Parole Comm. meeting
in support of Follow-up W/ 239 REQUEST
RELATED TO ACKS) of
TREASON

January.30, 2022

Board of Parole Commissioners-Public Comment 1/31/2022

Good afternoon, my name is Patricia Adkisson. My comments relate to certain aspects of consecutive sentences identified at agenda item number 5. Specifically, my comments will be limited to the consecutive sentence related to NRS 193.165, the so called "use of a deadly weapon enhancement" and a falsified public record generated by this board, related to the boards action taken. Preliminary authority conferred to this board in order to take action, imposes an affirmative duty to identify the category of felony conviction before the board may act. This board's authority is limited to the current crime under consideration, because NRS 193.165 is NOT a crime, it does not result in a conviction. There is NO category of felony, however, a review of this board's action's reveals that the board has been falsifying records in order to take action related to NRS 193.165 by designating "use of a deadly weapon" as an actual conviction with different categories of felony. Brazenly asserting a category F felony, which does NOT exist in Nevada law. I have attached the public records of this board actions. demonstrating proof of this illegal action. Violations of state and federal law are implicated, as well as a complete breach of the public trust. Additionally, we have records dating back to 1996, showing this practice. We rely on a system of laws and checks and balances. This board has neglected to perform their duty to identify the crime and Category of Felony Conviction, as well as the crime severity, and has instead allowed the NDOC to dictate the standards and as a result, this board is engaged in conducting hearings, not authorized by law, and producing falsified public records as a result. I appeared before the Board of Prison Commissioners on January. 24th, 2022, and provided notice of this conduct. Please see my comments to the board, I have attached them and submitted them to this board. A more detailed complaint will be filed with the Department of Public Safety Office of Professional Responsibility. Thank you for your time in this matter. I look forward to hearing from you.

> NOTE! CRIMINAL COMPlaint in District Court TO Follow, ABSENT Immediate Corrective Action

Patricia Adkisson
faithandjoesmom@gmail.com
702-505-2861

Attachment 2 submitted at MAY 31 2022 Board of PARCIA COMM. MAY BOARD TREASON

Board of Parole Commissioners 4000 S. Eastern Ave Suite 130 Las Vegas, NV. 89119 February. 27, 2022

Board of Parole Commissioners Meeting- Public Comment 2/28/22

Good afternoon, my name is Patricia Adkisson. My comments today relate to agenda item "Operation of the Board". First, we wish to point out, that the operation of the minimum standard of notice of hearings is deficient. For purposes of the intent to provide meaningful opportunity, the purpose of a three-day notice appears to contemplate submission of written materials. To accommodate this consideration, the notice must be posted in the appropriate time frame, we suggest 10 days in advance. The board deals with incarcerated persons, their families, and with victims of those in prison. We notice that meetings are often unattended by any non-governmental persons. This lack of participation may be indirectly caused by the very short minimum notice. Can the board amend its operations to notify NDOC inmates through law library postings? They are also interested parties, and they can motivate family to attend.

Second, on December 14th, 2021, the Board sent us a letter that affirms that the board relies upon and is dependent upon NDOC custody officials to supply representations of fact. This interdepartmental dependency appears to us, to be highly problematic and not done pursuant to an approved interlocal agreement. For example, NRS 193.165 states in the text that it is NOT a separate offense, yet the local District Attorneys operate on a legal fiction that it is a crime, and file complaints alleging violations of a non-criminal statute. The local judiciary then pronounces sentences for violation of the non-criminal statute. That legally can only be interpreted as a de facto civil commitment, then NDOC has to make up a category of offense. The legislature provides Categories A, B, C, D, and E. since the text of NRS 193.165 declares itself, not to be a separate crime, NDOC makes up categories, including category F, for the non-offense. Then, because of the board's improper dependence on NDOC, they unwittingly become principles through acts of other State and local agencies. Can something go into the boards operational rules to establish a policy of protecting their independence? The board is a quasijudicial agency, an extension of the sentencing court. Should not the board then assert their independence from other agencies and in doing so ensure that the facts upon which they make parole decisions are double checked and arrived at independently consistent with the legislative command.

Third, we moved to suggest the idea that the Board incorporate into its rules of operations NRS 179.2405 which is an act in legislature in 2017 announcing the public policy of giving second chances to offenders who are rehabilitated. Can you state for the record whether you have adopted this new policy statement? Thank you for your time and I look forward to seeing you at the next board meeting.

CENTRAL OFFICE

1677 Old Hot Springs Rd., Stc. A Carson City, Nevada 89706 http://parole.nv.gov (775) 687-5049 Fax (775) 687-6736

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, Chairman SUSAN JACKSON, Member MARY K. BAKER, Member SCOTT WEISENTHAL, Member

KATIE FRAKER, Executive Secretary

STATE OF NEVADA STEVE SISOLAK

ATTACHMENT 3

Submitted

May 8 i 2083

Board of

PARCIA

Comm. suchos

LAS VEGAS OFFICE

4000 S. Eastern Ave., Stc. 130 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 http://parole.nv.sov (702) 486-4370 Fax (702) 486-4376

CHRISTOPHER P. DERICCO, Chairman ERIC CHRISTIANSEN, Member DONNA VERCHIO, Member LAMICIA BAILEY, Member

NEVADA BOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS

December 14, 2021

PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER

Michael Adkisson, NDOC #84280 Northern Nevada Correctional Center P.O. Box 7000 Carson City, Nevada 89702

RE: PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST dated December 8, 2021.

Mr. Adkisson,

On December 13, 2021 the Nevada Board of Parole Commissioners received your request for documents pursuant to Nevada's Public Records Law.

1. Please provide me with the official state record relied upon by the Board in order to determine the category of felony conviction to be assigned to each crime under consideration by the Board. The Board does not determine the category of felony conviction. The category of felony conviction for each crime is determined by the Nevada Legislature and a person is then sentenced accordingly by the judge. The Nevada Department of Corrections enters the sentencing information from an inmate's Judgment of Conviction, along with the category of felony, for the Board's use.

Signed,

Katie Fraker

Executive Secretary

Katie Fraker

	TO BE SUBMITTED AS SU	PPORTING MATERIAL
	At the MAY 31 2082 1	SOARD OF PAROLE COMMISSION
	MEETING WITH COMME	NTS BY PATRICIA Actisson
	michael Adkisson # 84280	MAY 18. 20.2.2
	RA BON 7000 N.N. & C.	The second secon
	Casson City NV 89102	EN ROMANAMON CONTRACTOR
	PAROLE BORED PAROLE COMMISSIONER Execute	he Seembor
	PAROLE BOARD PAROLE COMMISSIONERS Execution 1477 and Hat Springs Rand South &	And the state of t
	Carren City NY. 89702	
T	O-1 1103 120 0 0110 0-1100 0-1	(
	RE: N.L.S. 239 PUBLIC PECOROS REQUEST	(Case no: 200178)
I CHEST MA	On 8-11-2016 I appeared before the B	sould and was Granted
19:05	Parale effective on 11-1-2016, Howkever, I H	
	PAROLE AGREEMENT From you at any time	CARROLL SALES AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE LINE AND ADMINISTRATIO
		HE. I HAVE CULTURE
Algorithm & Property of the Pr	the following REQUEST:	A. CANCELL .
	1.) Please provide me with the Po	PROLE-ABREEMENT
1000	mandated by N.R.S. CH. 213 Once the Be	pard takes Action
7. 77	TO GRANT POROLE.	that be will be to be a second
		New HOLDEN CHESTON AND ADDRESS.
II.	RE: Additional N.L.S. 239 Public RECOR	D REQUEST
	N.R.S. 196.010 Defines the Crime of TRE	
		assis di parimani pari
U.S. C. S. C.	as follows: 196.010 TREASON	
	1."Treason against the PEOPLE of the (a) Levying war against the PEOPLE (b) (C)	state Consist in ;
THE PARTY	(b) (c)	THE PARTY OF THE P
	I Treason is a category b reloav a	na is punishable by
	imprisonment in the State prison for not less than 2 years and a maxim	mum of not more than
	10 years.	
	3	New York Control of the Control of t
	See also 196.020 "Levying War" de	efined
	To constitute levying war agains	st the State on actual act
	When persons arise in insurrection general by force and intimidation, the	with intent to prevent, in
	This same as to force its coord they.	shall be autity of Levying war.
	But an endedoc although by numbers resist the execution of a law in	a single instance, and for a
	Private Purpose, is not leining we	<u> </u>
		Charles and the same of the sa
MANAGER ST	·1 of 7 ·	

	michael Adkisson * 84280	MAY 18, 2022
	Treason is a crime committed against the pa	eople of the State.
	The intentional ACT to prevent the lauful exe	
	by Members of the Board of Parole Comm	
	implicates violation of TREASON.	
	The intentional act or the intentional act	of omission by the
	Executive Secretary implicates violation of NR	
N.	196.030 MISPRISION of TREASON A person who has knowledge of the Comm	ission of toposon :
	who conceals the crime, and does not, as so the treason to the Governor or a Justice of	on as may be disclose
	of a Judge of the Court of Appeals or the district	et court is guilty
IA	and SHALL be punished as provided in N.R.S., I	93.130
	LA T TOTAL CONTROLL	C C - the
	1. On January 31, 2022 Potricia Rakisson appeared be	fore Fleribers of the
	Board at the Public Meeting. At that time Patricia Adkis	
	records generated by the Board that work to prevent	
	Statutory scheme related to the Boards authority. I	
	Boards outhority to actual Crimes that result in Fel	eny Convictions.
100	On february 28 2022 Patricia Adkisson appeared	before members of the
	Board at the Rublic Meeting, At that time the Board was I	NOTIFIED of the tollowing:
	"The Board improperly relies upon N.D.O.C. to id	lentify and designate.
	the category of felony Offense and Conviction for t	ne Board use, Contracy
	to Legislative designation	Sandy Res Com.
	· The Board is operating on a legal fiction to preve	nt the execution and
150	operation of the legislative. Designation of N.R.S.I	
	that this statute is to be treated as "NOT A SEE	
100	The Board becomes the PRINCIPAL in the related	
	1. Record of Betrieia Adicasan Public Comments attacher establishing Supporting evidence of the Boards intent to pre by Designating 193,145 as Offense and Separate Comments.	vent the execution of the colors
SEA SE	2. Record of Patricia Adkisson Public Comments attached for astablishing NATICE of the Bad Acts described.	your Convenience
	astablishing NOTICE of the Bad Acts described.	

	michael Adkisson #84280	MAY 18, 20,22
	preventing the execution of the affected.	statutes when the
	Board acts to Order a Great or Denial of	parele pursuant to
	a separate distinct sentence when consid	lering N.R.S. 193,165
	including when the Board Grants parole a	nd imposes
	"INSTITUTIONAL PAROLE" as a Condition of	aiming that a
	"consecutive." Sentence pursuant to NLR	s. 193.165 pmvides
	a Statutory basis to provide for In	estitutional Parole
	The Boards Actions related to N.R.S. 193.	165 does Constitute
MENNEN	an Abuse of Discretion	Transition of the second
	N.R.S. 193.165 is not a Separate offens	se no conviction
	13 passible, no crime is under consideration i	When the Board takes
	ony Action related to "GreAT; DENY; INSTIT	
	The Board is GROSSIY NEGLICIENT IN their	Official Duty to LIMIT
	ACTIONS to "OFFENDERS" and a current	crime (193,165 monne)
	N.R.S. 209.081 "OFFENDER" defroed.	
	"Offender" means any person convicted of a confidence of this State and sentenced to imprison m	rime under the laws
	The Board is Arossly NECLIGENT in the perfo	mance of the DUTY
	to independently verify the LEGISLATIVE	
	to N.R.S.193.165 before taking Action or	
	The Boards Action related to the crea	
	designating N.R.S.193.165 as ANY OFFEN	
	Conviction at ANY Category of Felony	
	PRUSE of Discretion in violation of N.A.	25.239.330
	N.R.S. 239, 330 Offering Palse instrument for films L. Eucept as athonoise provided in Subsection	2 a person who
	Knowingly procures or offers any false or for filed, registered or recorded in any public.	ged instrument to be
	if genuine, might be filed, registered or reco	rded in a public office
	a Category C felony and SHALL be punish	ed as provided in N.R.S.193.130
	·30f7.	

Michael Adkiason 84280	MAY 18, 2020
• The Board record of Actions designating	NON-OFFENISES as a
Category of felony to include "Category F	"does constitute an
Abuse of Discretion and a Vialation of H	
cely upon the Executive branch function, I	
a Legislative Function	
The Boards Actions in Collaboration with th	e Navada Dept. of
Corrections (N.D.O.C.) in this described "Tru	CK or SCHEME" tends
to Support insurrection with intent to	prevent; by
FORCED IMPRISONMENT the execu	tion of N.R.S. 193.165
designated by the legislature to be NO	OFFENSE.
But for the Boards Connivence, treating No	
and a Separate Conviction I would not	be Confined to a
State Prison as a CONDITION OF INSTITU	MONAL PAROLE"
as Ordered by the Board.	
On December 14, 2021 the Executive Secretary,	Kathe Fraker did cause
the delivery of the ACKNOWLEDGEMENT letter In	
The December 14" 20-21 letter established the following	in pertinent part;
"The Nevada Department of Corrections Category of Felony, For the Boards use."	enters the
Category of teleny, for the beards use.	1 12-2 X 25 124 26 X 27
This acknowledgement triggered the NOTICE by	Patricia Adkisson as
described at the January and February Board mee	tings.
It is relevant to note that the Department of f	Public Safety includes
The Board of Pardle Commissioners as well as Th	e Neurda Cciminal
History Records Repository.	
The Record Repository maintains Records of Con	uction from the
Scatencing Court and is charged with providing	g State Agencies With
3 Record of the December 14 2021 ACYADALIEDGEMENT letter atta	and for your Convenience
· 40£7.	

	Michael Adikisson 84280	MAY 18 2022
	the Record upon request	
	The Record Repository is also charged with a D	uty to enter Conviction
	Records into the Federal N.C.I.C. System under s	The state of the s
	in order to ensure accuracy as reflected by con	用证据的 是是对方的对象。
	law designated by the Legislative Branch	Assistant Committee of the Committee of
	A review of this record demonstrates NO COI	VVICTION is entered
	For N.R.S. 193.165. (Known as Nevada Criminal Justice.)	
	4 I'm providing my N.C. I. I.S. Base Record	
	of the related fact. N.R.S. 193.165 does not result in	
	The Boards Choice to sely upon unseliable unveril	
	N.D.O.C. related to Conviction records demos	
	the execution of the Controlling Statutory Schene	
	Boards Function. Obtaining Criminal History rel	
	FROM N.D.O.C. WOCKS to prevent the execution	
	"RECORDS OF CRIMINAL HISTORY AND INFORMATION R	
	"Central Repository" defined "Central Repository means the Central Repository for	
	"Central Repository" means the Central Repository for Records of Commal History.	r Nevada
	Record of commal history includes convictions a	nd information Set facth
H. F. P. L.	in N.R.S. 209.353 concerning an Offenderin Priso	
	The Central Repository for Nevada Records of Coin	
	the Records Communications and Compliance	
7 30	Department of Public Safety and is charged with	
	maintain the records See N.R.S. 179 8075	Went Leading to the second
	The Boards reliance on representation by A	D.O.C. Dissemination
PRICE	of Claimed records related to Convi	The state of the s
	14 Newado Criminal Justice Toformation System Base Reco	and allached for your
	Convenience demonstrating N.R.S. 193,165 does not Conviction for purposes related to Executive Branch Agency fu	netions including the DUNCU
	OF PAROLE COMMISSIONERS as ACTUALLY determined by the Curt	The least of the control of the cont
	V 0T /	

Michael Adkisson *84280	MAY 18 2022
is in direct conflict with N.P.S. 179 A090 in celes "N.R.S. 179 R.090 flerequisite to dissemination of a No agency of Comman justice in Novada ma	records je zceptions
any record of Criminal history which included about a felony of a grass misdemeaner with making inquiry of the Central Repository, to current and complete information available	les information thaut first
The Board prevents the execution of this statute	
Act to disseminate information represented t	be about a felony
each instance when the Board produces on O	rder related to
N.R.S. 193.165 Claiming any felony Category, Without	et friest making inquery of the Central Repository.
All seconds submitted to the Central Repository a	
with the policies, procedures and definitions of the	" Uniform Crime Reporting
Program of the Federal Bureau of Investigation	"Aller and a second a second and a second an
The State of Nevada, member status in the Nevada	a Crime Prevention and
Privacy Compact N.R.S. 179 A. 800 provides that each	party state will adhere
to III System Standards Concerning record diss	emination and use.
The Boards claim and Subsequent Board record S	tating N.RS.198.165
results in a criminal Conviction of Febry is not a	reflected within the
Central Reposition for Nevada Records of Crim	inal History.
The clear explanation for this is plainly stated in t	the statute as "NOT
A SEPARATE OFFENSE" as such and in accord	dance with the
Uniform Crime Reporting Program of the Federa	1 Bureau of Tovotigation
30 N.C.S. 179 A. 075 (2)(6) there is NEVER a R	ecord of Conviction
For N.R.S. 193.165, stopply because it is not a Crime	TARRA L
The clear conflict between the N.C.T.I.S. re	Company and the company of the compa
of Conviction, and the Boards claim of Rel	
designation of a Felow Conviction is a case	
fallure to request the record of Conviction	
Repository. The N.D. A.C. is engaged in ac	
·6 #7·	

Michael Adviscon \$4280 MAY 18, 2032 intent to prevent the execution of N.R.S. 193.165 as dasignated by the legislature to be NO OFFENSE. The N.D.O.C. unilateral determination is a clear encroachment on a legislative function The Boards Chaice to rely upon N.D.O.C. representations related to Cottogory of Offense and Conviction does constitute development their duty. The continuing practice after Notice that the N.D.C. admits to Cleating a new Category of Februs" Because they have to put Semething in the Computer" where admittedly no Conviction is present Creating a Cotegory F Glory assignment Implicates the Boards Shared intent to prevent the execution of the affected statutes Either the Board is a PRINCIPAL ACTOR in the Bad acts cosulting in the named Statestory violations or the Board has been DUPED, or Simply has last sight of the Critical Importance of our System of lands and Government by the RELIC of bad customs and practice. If the Board is not a Principal actor in the deserthed activity upon NOTICE at the Jan & feb Meeting the Board and Executive Secretary had a Duty to coast to the Foreinas per NRS 196 030 MISPRISION OF TREASON. In Consideration of the Foregoing please Consider the Following N. R.S. 239 Public Records Request: 1. Please provide me with the record produced by the Board or Executive Secretary as Contemplated by Nikis 196.030 Oroniding Notification of the Act of TREASON Committed by the Nevada Department of Corrections in an attempt to prevent the lawful execution of a statute, N.R.S. 193.165 declared to be NO SEPARATE OFFENSE, by Force through FORCED IMPRISONMENT without a conviction. 5 see Green V. Bacca DRDIALLY C.C. D.A.S. Rardy Gilmere N.D.A.C. D.D. Bill Gittere / TO FILE Subortsian into Public Record of Board on 5/31/2000 will be made . With Company to Faller

· 7 of 7 · see Attachments 1-4